



... «  
... » [7, .23].  
... « ... »  
... «  
... ( ) communicatio) –  
» [2, .68].  
“ ” “ ”  
( – ’ – ).  
( )  
: –  
; –  
« – – »  
... » [4, .26-27].  
[ : 4, .30-33].  
« » « »  
» [3, c. 159].  
« »

« ... » [3, c. 166].

« ... » [3, c. 167].

« ... » [3, c. 169].

« ... » [7, .26].

[ ... : 7, .26]

[ ... : 1, .7-13].

« ... » [5, .308].

« ... » [ ... : 11].

( ... );

( ... );

( ... ).

«

» [8, . 15].

«

»

[9, . 265].

«

» [6, . 26].

1. Alchuk, M. (2013). Philosophical and law discourse: history and modernity. *Visnyk Lvivskoho Universytetu. Seriya filosofsko-politychni studii*, 1, 7–13.
2. Bystrytskyi, Ye. (2018). Ekzystentsiina pravda i postpravda. *Philosophska dumka (Philosophical Thought)*, 5, 54–71.
3. Bollnow, O. F. (1996). *Zustrich, Pershodzherela komunikatyvnoi filosofii* (L. Sytnychenko). Kyiv, Lybid.
4. Buber, M. (2012). *Ya i Ty. Shliakh liudyny za khasydsnym vchenniam*. Dukh i Litera.
5. Habermas, J. (2001). *Philosophskyi dyskurs modernu*. Chetverta khvyliia.
6. Yermolenko, A. M. (1999). *Komunikatyvna praktychna filosofiiia*. Libra.
7. Yermolenko, A. M. (2018). Yak mozhlyva istyna v “sytuatyi postpravdy”? *Philosophska dumka (Philosophical Thought)*, 5, 21–26.
8. Kozlovskiy, A.A. (2003). *Philosophia prava yak samosvidomist natsii. Problemy filosofii prava (Philosophy of Law Issues)*. Vol. 1, 12–16.
9. Kozlovskiy, A.A. (1999). *Pravo yak piznannia: Vstup do hnoeolohii prava*. Ruta.
10. Proleev, S. (2018). Theoretychna zlydennist dyskursu post- *Philosophska dumka (Philosophical Thought)*, 5, 45–53.
11. Flivbyerg, B. (2002). Habermas i Foucault: Myslitieli dlia grazhdanskogo obshchestva. *Voprosy Filosofii*, 2, 137–157.

### References

1. Alchuk, M. (2013). Philosophical and law discourse: history and modernity. *Visnyk Lvivskoho Universytetu. Seriya filosofsko-politychni studii*, 1, 7–13.
2. Bystrytskyi, Ye. (2018). Ekzystentsiina pravda i postpravda. *Philosophska dumka (Philosophical Thought)*, 5, 54–71.
3. Bollnow, O. F. (1996). *Zustrich, Pershodzherela komunikatyvnoi filosofii* (L. Sytnychenko). Kyiv, Lybid.
4. Buber, M. (2012). *Ya i Ty. Shliakh liudyny za khasydsnym vchenniam*. Dukh i Litera.
5. Habermas, J. (2001). *Philosophskyi dyskurs modernu*. Chetverta khvyliia.
6. Yermolenko, A. M. (1999). *Komunikatyvna praktychna filosofiiia*. Libra.
7. Yermolenko, A. M. (2018). Yak mozhlyva istyna v “sytuatyi postpravdy”? *Philosophska dumka (Philosophical Thought)*, 5, 21–26.
8. Kozlovskiy, A.A. (2003). *Philosophia prava yak samosvidomist natsii. Problemy filosofii prava (Philosophy of Law Issues)*. Vol. 1, 12–16.
9. Kozlovskiy, A.A. (1999). *Pravo yak piznannia: Vstup do hnoeolohii prava*. Ruta.
10. Proleev, S. (2018). Theoretychna zlydennist dyskursu post- *Philosophska dumka (Philosophical Thought)*, 5, 45–53.
11. Flivbyerg, B. (2002). Habermas i Foucault: Myslitieli dlia grazhdanskogo obshchestva. *Voprosy Filosofii*, 2, 137–157.

### Summary

#### *Alchuk M. Communicative Discourses of the Contemporary Period.*

Peculiarities and problems of modern communicative philosophy have been studied in the paper. The panel discussion materials published in the journal “Philosophska Dumka” (Philosophical Thought) are under scrutiny. The notion of “post-truth” in its historical, evaluative, ethical, informational and linguistic meanings has been interpreted in the discussions. This discussion is momentous, it taking place under the conditions of foreign aggression, hybrid war, disinformation in our country. The communicative discourses have been singled out as follows: historical, ethical, argumentative, philosophical, legal, and social ones. In order to achieve mutual understanding, we have to use truthful, not distorted information. The main task the philosopher faces is to disclose the real essence of fake information, “post-truth situation”; to critically evaluate and elucidate political and propaganda statements. We have considered the works by K.-O. Apel, J. Habermas, on the importance of ethical principles of responsibility, communicative and practical philosophy. Dialogic philosophy of M. Buber emphasizes on the necessity of skills to hold productive dialogues aimed at confidence and solution of interstate, cross-cultural and cross-religious problems. O. Bollnow draws attention to the definition and interpretation of the “meeting” notion, which enables its correct usage in various spheres, and is a decisive event in human destiny.

**Keywords:** communicative philosophy, communicative discourse, dialogue, confidence, truth, meeting, post-truth situation.